St agree				
	Agree	Neither	Disagre	e St disagree
30%	33%	9%	21%	7%
St agree	Agree	Neither	Disagre	e St disagree
21%	45%	6%	22%	6%
St agree	Agree	Neither	Disagre	e St disagree
15%	21%	7%	39%	18%
St agree	Agree	Neither	Disagre	e St disagree
36%	6%	6%	30%	22%
Stay at 6 months 3 months		1 month		
5 5			23	
Four weeks			End	
27%		73%		
Stay at 16K			Have lower limit	
18%		82%		
St agree	Agree	Neither	Disagre	e St disagree
64%	21%	3%	9%	3%
Yes			No	
100%			Nil	
St agree	Agree	Neither	Disagre	e St disagree
42%	39%	16%	3%	0
	30% St agree 21% St agree 15% St agree 36% Stay at 6 5 Four wee 27% Stay at 16 18% St agree 64% Yes 100% St agree	30% 33% St agree Agree 21% Agree 15% 21% St agree Agree 36% 6% Four weeks 27% Stay at 16K 18% Agree 64% 21% Yes 100% Agree	30% 33% 9% St agree Agree Neither 21% 45% 6% St agree Agree Neither 15% 21% 7% St agree Agree Neither 36% 6% 6% Four weeks 27% 5 Stay at 16K 18% 21% 3% St agree Agree Neither 64% 21% 3% Yes 100% Neither	30% 33% 9% 21% St agree Agree Neither Disagree 21% 45% 6% 22% St agree Agree Neither Disagree 15% 21% 7% 39% St agree Agree Neither Disagree 36% 6% 6% 30% 5 5 End 73% Stay at 16K Have low 82% St agree Agree Neither Disagree 64% 21% 3% 9% Yes No Nil St agree Agree Neither Disagree Agree Neither Disagree

Anything else you think we should consider in our local scheme.

Reduce council tax benefit across the board and spend the money on more worthwhile things

There should be safeguards for people who are in work but on low incomes. This will incentivise work. Those who do not / will not work but just rely on the state to finance their lifestyle should have no additional benefits such as Council Tax discount.

The savings should not be absored within existing budgets as this is actually an additional tax / subsidy by those who do not receive CT benefit, or a reduction in services to us all. You should have asked this group if they wanted to fund the scheme like this or had reduced services before you entered the design phase.

I think it is essential that the poorest and most vunerable are protected, I think we should add higher bands for more valuable properties to ensure that the level of income received does not reduce substantially.

Remove the exemption for multiple occupancy dwellings with students where little council tax is liable. Council tax should be paid in full, if not more, because of the cost to the taxpayer of students and landlords. Landlords should be paying for this, not taxpayers. Also, not sure what discount is currently for second homes, but this should be increased to full council tax, with absolutely no discounts.

Follow up those people who should pay but do not.

The system is open to abuse, and I would suggest that criteria that permits the payments should be rigorously implemented.

Ideally, valuations should be updated and council tax bands increased, especially at higher level. But this is currently for Government to decide.

You will hit the most vulnerable, CT discount should be capped at band D